

## rewrite by antecedent

[/Reference manual](#)/[Z-related commands](#)/[Proof rule commands](#)

The *rewrite by antecedent* command applies a rewrite rule to an expression or a predicate in a goal.

The expression or predicate is selected first, and the rewrite rule second.

In the expression case, the rewrite rule must be a predicate in one of the following forms.

$$\begin{aligned} & pattern = replacement \\ & \forall t \bullet pattern = replacement \end{aligned}$$

In the predicate case, the rewrite rule must be a predicate in one of the following forms.

$$\begin{aligned} & pattern \Leftrightarrow replacement \\ & pattern \quad (\Leftrightarrow true \text{ replacement}) \\ & \neg pattern \quad (\Leftrightarrow false \text{ replacement}) \\ & \forall t \bullet pattern \Leftrightarrow replacement \\ & \forall t \bullet pattern \quad (\Leftrightarrow true \text{ replacement}) \\ & \forall t \bullet \neg pattern \quad (\Leftrightarrow false \text{ replacement}) \end{aligned}$$

The rewrite rule must be an antecedent of the expression or predicate, meaning that it must be a conjunct of a surrounding conjunction predicate, or of the left operand of a surrounding implication predicate, or of the | part of a surrounding

schema text, or of the  $\bullet$  part of a surrounding (unique) existential quantification predicate, or of an antecedent predicate of the surrounding goal. The following is an attempt to formalize this, in which  $r$  is the rewrite rule appearing as a conjunct of a larger predicate  $r \wedge q$  (not necessarily the first conjunct), and  $f$  is the selected formula appearing within a larger predicate  $p(f)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & r \wedge p(f) \\ & r \wedge q \Rightarrow p(f) \\ & \dots \mid r \wedge q \bullet p(f) \\ & \exists ds \mid p(f) \bullet r \wedge q \\ & \exists_1 ds \mid p(f) \bullet r \wedge q \end{aligned}$$

The *pattern* part of the rewrite rule must match the selected expression or predicate, interpreting the variables declared by any schema text  $t$  as jokers for this match.

In the case of a quantified rewrite rule, side-conditions arise from any declarations and  $\mid$  part of the schema text  $t$ . These side-conditions must be automatically decidable for the command to be applicable. Currently, the *simplification tac* command is used as this decision procedure.

The expression or predicate is replaced by the corresponding instantiation of the *replacement*.

Unlike *rewrite by rule* and *rewrite by section*, the rewrite rule must match the entire expression or predicate, and only one rewrite is done.

This command differs from the *Leibniz* command in the following ways. Rewriting

is always done left-to-right, never right-to-left (see [commutation](#)). The equality or equivalence used as the rewrite rule can be within a quantified predicate. Only one formula can be rewritten by a use of this command (the side-conditions for multiple rewrites would have been too awkward to manage, but this might change in future). The selections must be within a goal, not any other form of paragraph (because there is always somewhere within a goal to put the side-conditions, again this might change soon).

A trace of the instantiated rewrites can be made to appear in the shell window by invoking cadiz with the option -dw.

## 1. Tactic examples

*“rewrite by antecedent” e p “rewrite by antecedent” p<sub>1</sub> p<sub>2</sub>*

The first example rewrites expression  $e$  using predicate  $p$ . The second example rewrites predicate  $p_1$  using predicate  $p_2$ .

*IT 30-Nov-2000*